

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/635,777	08/07/2003	Akiyoshi Mikami	50024-015	1705	
McDERMOTT	7590 05/05/2008 Γ, WILL & EMERY	EXAM	EXAMINER		
600 13th Street, N.W.			THOMPSON, CAMIE S		
Washington, E	OC 20005-3096		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1794		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/05/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/635,777	MIKAMI, AKIYOSHI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Camie S. Thompson	1794		

	Camie S. Thompson	1794					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 25 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following i application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (1).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth inter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of exhunder 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked, Any pely received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complete.	ience with 37 CER 41 37 must be t	iled within two months	of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS	A series to the state of fire a challed						
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further con</li> </ol>			cause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		L below),					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).				
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ol>							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•					
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [</li> </ol>		be entered and an ex	kplanation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ided below or appended.						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 13.14 and 22.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	to provide a				
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.				
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13. Other:							

/Bruce H Hess/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794 Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the combination of the Japanese reference and the Okajima reference is a proper rejection. The orientation of the semiconductor compound is optimizable. Okajima discloses in column 2, lines 45-65 that lattice defects affect light emission intensity. Therefore, it would have been ontwious to one of ordinary skill in the art to optimize the orientation of the alkaline earth suffide semiconductor compound in order to have increased light emission intensity. The refection is maintained.